

#### ABSTRACT

We describe our submission to the ALTA-2020 shared task on assessing behaviour from short text, We evaluate the effectiveness of traditional machine learning and recent transformers pre-trained models. Our submission with the Roberta-large model and prediction threshold achieved first place on the private leaderboard.

#### BACKGROUND

- Language enables us to express evaluation of people, action, event, and things
- The appraisal framework of [2] provides a detailed classification scheme for understanding how evaluation is expressed and implied in language
- Three categories of evaluative text: affect, judgement, and appreciation
- Utterances are viewed as indicating positive ("praising") or negative ("blaming") disposition towards some object (person, thing, action, situation, or event)
- The judgement dimensions are normality, capacity, tenacity, veracity, and propriety

Each of the dimensions represents an answer to the following corresponding questions:

- Normality: How special?
- Tenacity: How dependable?
- Capacity: How capable?
- Veracity: How honest?
- Propriety: How far beyond reproach?

### CONTACT US

Segun: aroyehun.segun@gmail.com&https://nlp.cic.ipn.mx/segun/ Alexander: gelbukh@gelbukh.com&https://www.gelbukh.com/

## Automatically Predicting Judgement Dimensions of Human Behaviour

# Segun Taofeek Aroyehun & Alexander Gelbukh CIC, Instituto Politécnico Nacional Mexico City, Mexico

#### **TASK DESCRIPTION**

Given a short text, predict one or more judgement dimensions expressed in the given text. This is a multilabel classification problem where the labels consist of the five judgement dimensions.

#### DATA

We used the data provided by the organizers of the ALTA-2020 shared task [3]. The training set has 198 tweets and the test set consists of 100 examples.

| Label     | Proportion |
|-----------|------------|
| Normality | 0.11       |
| Capacity  | 0.16       |
| Tenacity  | 0.11       |
| Veracity  | 0.015      |
| Propriety | 0.18       |

**Table 1:** Frequency of each label in the training set as a fraction of the total number of training examples.

#### MODELS

- **NBSVM** uses the naive bayes log-count ratio of n-grams as features [4]. They are fed into a logistic regression classifier. We train a binary classifier per label.
- **Roberta-large** is an optimized BERT model trained on a larger and more diverse collection of text [5]. We fine-tune the pre-trained model on the training dataset provided for the shared task.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank CONACYT for the computer resources provided through the INAOE Supercomputing Laboratory's Deep Learning Platform for Language Technologies.

#### EXPERIMENTS

The use of neural networks has led to significant performance improvements in NLP tasks. However, neural networks require a large amount of labeled data. On the contrary, the traditional machine learning models such as NBSVM are competitive in low-data regimes [1]. We examined the effectiveness of NBSVM and a Roberta-large model for predicting dimensions of judgement expressed in short text. Data pre-processing. We clean the text of each tweet by removing punctuation marks, digits, and repeated characters. We normalize URLs and usernames (tokens that starts with the @ symbol). Hashtags are converted to their constituent word(s) after removing the # symbol. **Classifier threshold.** We set 0.2 as the decision threshold for the *capacity* label and 0.1 for the remaining labels.





| [  | 1] | Se |
|----|----|----|
|    |    | ne |
|    |    | ลท |
| [/ | 2] | Ja |
| [, | 3] | D  |
|    |    | Ai |
| [4 | 4] | Si |
|    |    | са |
|    |    | Ρı |
|    | 5] | Yi |
|    |    | Ze |
|    |    | ar |
|    |    |    |

#### RESULTS

| Method                     | Public leaderboard | Private leaderboard | Average |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|---------|
| NBSVM                      | 0.16000            | 0.00000             | 0.08000 |
| NBSVM w/ prep.             | 0.16000            | 0.00000             | 0.08000 |
| Roberta-large              | 0.11666            | 0.06666             | 0.09166 |
| Roberta-large w/ threshold | 0.14285            | 0.15466             | 0.14876 |

**Table 2:** Mean F1 score on the public and private test sets obtained on kaggle In-class.

Our best model achieved the first position on the ALTA-2020 shared task.

#### CONCLUSION

• We used NBSVM and Roberta-large to automatically predict the dimensions of human judgement

• NBSVM model did not generalize

• Roberta-large model with prediction threshold was consistent

• With the small size of the test set, we cannot conclude which model is better

#### REFERENCES

egun Taofeek Aroyehun and Alexander Gelbukh. Aggression detection in social media: Using deep neural etworks, data augmentation, and pseudo labeling. In Proceedings of the First Workshop on Trolling, Aggression nd Cyberbullying (TRAC-2018), pages 90–97, 2018.

mes R. Martin and Peter R. White. *The language of evaluation*, volume 2. Springer, 2003.

Diego Mollá. Overview of the 2020 ALTA Shared Task: Assess Human Behaviour. In Proceedings of the 18th *Innual Workshop of the Australasian Language Technology Association,* 2020.

ida I. Wang and Christopher D. Manning. Baselines and bigrams: Simple, good sentiment and topic classifiation. In Proceedings of the 50th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short *apers*), pages 90–94, 2012.

inhan Liu, Myle Ott, Naman Goyal, Jingfei Du, Mandar Joshi, Danqi Chen, Omer Levy, Mike Lewis, Luke Zettlemoyer, and Veselin Stoyanov. Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach. arXiv preprint rXiv:1907.11692, 2019.



